

F1
could

maximum display parameters. Alternatively, the selected display parameters may be determined based on capabilities of the video graphics card, for example, the video graphics card may be capable of handling a certain size display.

IN THE CLAIMS

Please add claims 44- 48 to read as shown below.

44. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the selected display capabilities include display parameters that exceed the display parameters of each of the multiple displays.

45. (New) The multiple display supporting module of claim 7, wherein the selected display capabilities include display parameters that exceed the display parameters of each of the multiple displays.

F2 46. (New) The method of claim 19, wherein the selected display capabilities include display parameters that exceed the display parameters of each of the multiple displays.

47. (New) The method of claim 23, wherein the selected display capabilities include display parameters that exceed the display parameters of each of the multiple displays.

48. (New) The method of claim 32, wherein the selected display capability parameter is determined by display parameters that exceed the display parameters of each of the multiple displays.

REMARKS

The above Amendments and these Remarks are being submitted in response to the Office Action dated July 16, 2002.

I. Summary of the Examiner's Rejections

Claims 1-43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Endres, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,104,359) in view of Kotha, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,067,071).